Dominican School of Philosophy & Theology
Syllabus for FE-2021 & FE-2022 – Pastoral Ministry Internship
(Field Education, Level II, Parts 1 & 2)
(Fall 2018 and Spring 2019)

INSTRUCTOR (FIELD ED COORDINATOR): Fr. Bryan Kromholtz, OP (DSPT),
at DSPT East Building, room 120, available during office hours posted on door or by
appointment • 510-883-7151 • bkromholtz@dspt.edu

COURSE DESCRIPTION: This course is part of students’ year-long experience in a supervised
pastoral ministry experience, through which they will (a) exercise basic skills of the apostolate,
(b) engage in theological reflection upon it, and (c) document and communicate their learning
about these areas. Each student is required to arrange for regular supervisory sessions with the
approved supervisor at the ministry site. This syllabus covers the two parts of Level II of Field
Education: FE-2021 (Fall) & FE-2022 (Spring), spanning the academic year. Each is offered at
1.5 units, for a total of 3.0 units of Level II credit when both semesters are completed. A passing
grade in FE-1021 and FE-1022 is normally a prerequisite for FE-2021; a passing grade in FE-
2021 (Fall) is normally a prerequisite for FE-2022 (Spring).

COURSE GOALS AND OUTCOMES: Through this course, students will continue to develop their
grasp of certain central issues in field education for the apostolate, concerning the sacramental
and missionary nature of the Church and her members’ participation in it. Students will become
better able to analyze apostolates in which they are engaged and to engage creatively in
improving their reach. They will also become better able (1) to apply their theological learning in
an integrative way; (2) to seek actively the insights of others for their mission; (3) to develop the
habit of theological reflection for their apostolates and for their participation in them; and (4) to
become a collaborative leader in ministry.

Specifically, upon successful completion of the course, students will be better able (terms in
underlined italics refer to the DSPT MDiv Program Outcomes, listed at the end of this syllabus):

• to exercise basic skills of the apostolate (in a parish, campus ministry, on an itinerant
  basis, or in other contexts) consistent with their formation community’s charism,
  tradition, and practices (responsibility, teamwork, Church uniting);

• to engage in theological reflection upon their assigned apostolate and upon their own
  ecclesial identity in relation to it (communicating, theological evaluation);

• to use resources to document and communicate their learning about these areas
  (communicating, theological evaluation).
FORMAT: Practicum in an on-site ministry/apostolate, with an On-Site Supervisor assisting the student with theological reflection and providing assessment to the Field Ed Coordinator.

CLASS DATES AND TIMES: This course normally takes place at an Apostolic Assignment occurring over a time period of one academic year (normally, one Fall Semester followed by one Spring Semester); the student’s formation community determines the precise dates involved (including whether the preceding or subsequent summer is included in whole or in part, etc.).

INTENDED STUDENT PARTICIPANTS: This is an introductory-level Master’s course, intended for DSPT MDiv students who are approved clerical candidates for ordination to the Roman Catholic presbyterate, who have completed Field Ed Level I. The course is to be taken on a Pass/Fail basis by all participants.

ASSIGNMENTS AND OTHER REQUIRED ACTIVITIES: For this course, each student must:

- Participate in an Apostolic Assignment with an On-Site Supervisor (both being selected under the direction of the appropriate superior/director from the student’s formation community);
- Maintain a personal Field Education Electronic Portfolio, following the Field Education Checklist, in which are gathered electronic copies of the annual Learning Contract, Final Evaluation, and Self-Assessment (eventually containing one of each for each of the four years in Field Education), Theological Reflections, Apostolic Skill Module Documentation Sheets for all completed modules, and the Checklist itself;
- Cooperate with the On-Site Supervisor in maintaining communication with the Field Field Ed Coordinator.

As implied above, particular written assignments are required; thus, each student must:

(a) Complete a Learning Contract for the Apostolic Assignment, in consultation with the On-Site Supervisor, to be used as a guide and evaluative tool in the course (due by Sept. 30);

(b) Write two Theological Reflections, one each semester, for situations in their Apostolic Assignment, to be discussed with the On-Site Supervisor (due dates: 1st Reflection on Dec. 15, 2nd Reflection on May 15);

(c) Complete at least four Apostolic Skill Modules (ASMs), for the apostolic skills delineated in the Field Education Checklist (for different areas from those completed in Level I), with a Documentation Sheet in electronic form (including a 200-600 word Learning Summary each), for various apostolic skills (due dates: two ASMs by Dec. 15, two more ASMs by May 15);

(d) Facilitate the On-site Supervisor’s Final Evaluation of the student’s Apostolic Assignment;

(e) Complete a Final Self-Assessment of his Apostolic Assignment (due by May 15).

All these assignments are to be completed using the corresponding template and/or instructions.
to be issued to the student by the Field Ed Coordinator. The student must satisfactorily complete each of the above elements to receive a passing grade for the course. Each written assignment is to be completed by computer copy (with a filename beginning with the student’s surname), which shall include within it the student’s name, date, course number and title, Field Ed Coordinator’s name, and title of assignment, and is due to be sent electronically to the Field Ed Coordinator by the dates indicated.

REQUIRED TEXTS FOR COURSE


*Note that only a work marked with an asterisk (*) should require any purchase. All prices listed are as found at bookfinder.com (new/used, shipping included); prices may vary considerably. In addition to the texts shown above, the Field Ed Coordinator may distribute certain texts, at his discretion, for study and discussion. At the Field Ed Coordinator’s discretion, additional readings may be assigned as the course progresses, depending on the students’ needs or interests, or other considerations.*

MEETING DATES FOR FALL AND SPRING SEMESTERS.

The Field Education Coordinator will contact each participant in the course. The Field Ed Coordinator may organize one required conference call per semester, if circumstances permit, for the support of those in the course.
## Grading Criteria for a Theological Reflection in Field Education

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Style</th>
<th>U - course standards achieved Unsatisfactorily</th>
<th>S - course standards achieved Satisfactorily</th>
<th>E – course standards achieved w/ Excellence</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>S-1. Grammar, Spelling, etc. (GS&amp;c)</td>
<td>Student makes many errors in GS&amp;c that distract the reader from the content.</td>
<td>Student makes very few errors in GS&amp;c that distract the reader from the content.</td>
<td>Student makes no errors in GS&amp;c that distract the reader from the content.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S-2. Sentence Structure</td>
<td>Several sentences are unclear or are not well-constructed.</td>
<td>Nearly all sentences are clear and well-constructed.</td>
<td>All sentences are clear and well-constructed.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parts</th>
<th>U - course standards achieved Unsatisfactorily</th>
<th>S - course standards achieved Satisfactorily</th>
<th>E – course standards achieved w/ Excellence</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>P-1a. Situation</td>
<td>Student does not adequately describe the situation to be considered, or omits important details.</td>
<td>Student adequately describes the situation to be considered, with enough relevant detail.</td>
<td>Student clearly describes the situation to be considered, with all relevant detail.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P-1b. Past Response</td>
<td>Student does not adequately describe his response to the situation.</td>
<td>Student adequately describes his response to the situation.</td>
<td>Student clearly and/or extensively describes his response to the situation.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P-2a. Theological Sources</td>
<td>Student fails to mention any theological sources that may shed light on the situation.</td>
<td>Student mentions theological sources that apply to the situation (Scripture, Magisterium, theologians, etc.).</td>
<td>Student draws extensively or particularly astutely from theological sources that apply to the situation.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P-2b. Theological Analysis</td>
<td>Student fails to offer any theological analysis.</td>
<td>Student offers some theological analysis for the situation.</td>
<td>Student offers an astute theological analysis of the situation.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P-3. Future Apostolic Response</td>
<td>If applicable, student fails to mention whether any future apostolic response would be possible.</td>
<td>If applicable, student mentions whether any future apostolic response would be possible.</td>
<td>If applicable, student offers a considerable reflection on a possible future apostolic response.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P-4. Learning</td>
<td>Student does not include learning about (a) self, (b) relation to others, and/or (c) the apostolate, where applicable.</td>
<td>Student includes learning about (a) self, (b) relation to others, and/or (c) the apostolate, where applicable.</td>
<td>Student includes considerable learning about (a) self, (b) relation to others, and/or (c) the apostolate, where applicable.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Grades for Theological Reflections

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Grade</th>
<th>General Description</th>
<th>Grading Criteria</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Pass +</td>
<td>There is an extensive and intensive engagement with the assignment, with no parts missing.</td>
<td>Mostly “E”s &amp; no “U”s</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pass</td>
<td>There is an adequate engagement with the assignment, with enough essential parts present for a coherent reflection.</td>
<td>No more than one “U”, few or no “E”s</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fail</td>
<td>There is a failure to execute the most basic elements of the assignment.</td>
<td>More than one “U” (or no work submitted)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

An analogous manner of grading is used for other assignments for this course.
DSPT MDiv Program Outcomes

By the end of the program, students will demonstrate ministerial and pastoral competency through the ability:

MDivO-1 (*communicating*): to communicate simply, accurately, and effectively the theological tradition of the Roman Catholic Church through preaching, teaching, and writing;

MDivO-2 (*preaching*): to preach in a manner that is grounded in scholarly methods of biblical interpretation, and is doctrinally sound, pastorally focused, and rhetorically effective;

MDivO-3 (*theological evaluation*): to analyze, assess, and critique theological perspectives through scriptural, historical, and systematic theological methods, and to assist others in doing the same;

MDivO-4 (*responsibility*): to fulfill their responsibilities with the moral, intellectual, psychological, and emotional maturity that grounds vigorous discipleship of Christ and priestly service to others;

MDivO-5 (*prayer leading*): to lead a faith community in prayer, worship and theological reflection, centered on the Eucharist, respecting both the liturgical tradition of the Church and the pastoral needs of the local community;

MDivO-6 (*ethics application*): to apply the principles of the moral theology of St. Thomas Aquinas to pastoral situations in general and confessional situations in particular;

MDivO-7 (*teamwork*): to lead and to work collaboratively with others in a just, charitable, and generous manner, respecting the relevant cultural, social, and ecclesial circumstances, all the while maintaining professional, ethical boundaries;

MDivO-8 (*Church uniting*): to foster Church communion, demonstrated through personal and liturgical prayer, regular observance, and life in community.

*(Each term labeling its respective outcome, underlined in italics, is my own.)*