PHHS 2001 CONTEMPORARY PHILOSOPHY
Anselm Ramelow, O.P.
Dominican School for Philosophy and Theology
Spring 2020

time:  M 9:40am-12:30pm
place: DSPT #1
3 units

Office Hours:
By appointment; I can be reached under (415) 567 7824 (St. Dominic’s parish, ask for Fr. Anselm) or aramelow@dspt.edu; I am also available after class.

Description
Lecture on late 19th and 20th century philosophy: beginning with Kierkegaard and Nietzsche, we will treat pragmatism, phenomenology, existentialism, hermeneutics, analytic philosophy, structuralism, postmodernism, deconstruction and leading criticism of the same. The lecture is designed to give an overview and is open to questions and discussion.
As an outcome, the student will have gained an understanding of the development of philosophical thought in this epoch, and will be able to relate various thinkers and their positions on relevant topics through research and writing.

The student will demonstrate this knowledge through
a) a mid-term (take home) and final exam (take home, non-comprehensive)
   = 40% of grade
b) a 15pp research paper (see below)
   = 50% of grade
   This will include an evaluation of the student in the following area:
   Deep Learning (Institutional Goal A.1 and 2): based on the paper, according to the published rubrics of assessment.
c) in addition, 10% of the grade will include an evaluation of the student in the following areas:
   a. Collaborative learning (Institutional Goal B.1); based on
      i. class participation
      ii. the paper proposal you are submitting and integration of feedback
      iii. your contribution to the common good of the class:
         1. class preparation
         2. class participation
   b. Self-direction (Institutional Goal A.3):
      finding your own paper topic will demonstrate this ability
   c. Leadership (Institutional Goal B.1)
      Your paper and its topic can be an opportunity to show that you can identify relevant issues and address them.

Class attendance is mandatory. Attendance and participation will impact the grade.
Missed deadlines will result in a penalty on the grade.

I would like you to forgo the use of laptops in the class sessions.
The research paper ideally will compare a philosopher to other thinkers on a particular topic. It will show the student’s ability to do research. For this purpose, I ask you to give me a paper proposal by Monday, 3/30.
The dead line for the paper is Monday 5/11.

Out of fairness to other students who submit their work on time, missed deadlines will result in a lower grade. Further guidelines for the paper can be found at the end of the syllabus.

Bibliography
required:
- a Reader will be available at Vick Copy (1879 Euclid, corner of Hearst and Euclid)

Recommended:
a) **R. Scruton, Short History of Modern Philosophy** (Routledge Classics) 2001
   (useful, although it covers only the some of the first part of the class. The respective pages are indicated in the syllabus)
b) **Frederick Copleston, S.J., History of Philosophy**, the respective volumes
   (Copleston is an older overview that ends with Sartre, so you would need to supplement it with other histories of philosophy)
c) It is also useful to look at encyclopedia articles
   e.g. *The Encyclopedia of Philosophy*, ed. Paul Edwards
   (New York: Macmillan (1967))
d) Helpful resources in the internet:
   *Stanford Encyclopedia of Philosophy*
   *The Internet Encyclopedia of Philosophy*
   Unlike these encyclopedias, Wikipedia is not a reliable academic source!
### Class Schedule

The schedule is flexible and subject to changes; if there is not much reading assigned for a particular author, you might want to get a head start on the next ones.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Date</th>
<th>Reading</th>
<th>Authors and Readings</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
| M 2/3 | Introduction, left wing Hegelians, Marx and the turn of 1848 | Lawhead p. 453-459  
  (suggested reading: Scruton¹ p. 219-233, 234-246)  
  **Kierkegaard**  
  read: Reader p. 3-11  
  (or: Scruton p. 191-195) |
| M 2/10 | Nietzsche | Reader p. 12-19  
  (suggested reading: Scruton p. 195-199  
  or one of the suggested Encyclopedias)  
  **Pragmatism:** Peirce, James, Dewey  
  read: Lawhead p. 460-478  
  Baird p. 22-40 |
| M 2/17 | President’s Day, Academic Holiday | |
| M 2/24 | Pragmatism continued  
  **Husserl I**  
  read²: Lawhead p. 527-533  
  Baird p. 1-21  
  for 1ˢᵗ person perspective: recommended: Reader p. 19-21 |
| M 3/2 | Husserl II | |
| M 3/9 | Edith Stein and Max Scheler | Reader p. 21-26, 27-37  
  (For Scheler you can find more [here](#).) |
| M 3/16 | Heidegger (I) | Lawhead p. 534-545  
  Baird p. 97-136  
  *(take home exam handed out)* |
| M 3/23 | Reading Week | |
| M 3/30 | **take home exam due, paper proposals due**  
  **Heidegger (II)**  
  **Gadamer**  
  read: Baird p. 178-205 |

---

¹ *Short History of Modern Philosophy* (Routledge Classics) by Roger Scruton; Routledge; 3ᵉ edition [November 9, 2001].

² Suggested also: Scruton 263-269.
M 4/6 **Sartre**
  read: Lawhead p. 546-555
  Baird p. 206-238

Simone de Beauvoir, Merleau-Ponty
  read: Baird p. 139-153, 272-274
  Lawhead p. 564-9

M 4/13 **Easter Monday, holiday at DSPT**

M 4/20 **Moore and Frege**
  read: Lawhead p. 501f.
  Baird p. 80-96

for Frege, please use the *Internet Encyclopedia of Philosophy*:
  http://www.iep.utm.edu/f/frege.htm

**Russell**
  read: Lawhead p. 500-505
  Baird p. 50-79

M 4/27 **Early Wittgenstein**
  read: Lawhead p. 510-514
  Baird p. 137-149

**Positivism, Ayer, Popper**
  read: Lawhead p. 506-510
  Baird p. 166-177
  Reader: p. 38-42

M 5/4 **Quine**
  read: Lawhead p. 556-558
  Baird p. 254-271

**later Wittgenstein and Ryle/Austin**
  read: Lawhead p. 514-519, 520-526
  Baird p. 149-165, 286-298

M 5/11 **paper due!**
  Saussure, Levi-Strauss, Foucault, Lyotard, Derrida
  read: Lawhead p. 559-561
  Baird p. 326-342, 343-366

M 5/18 **last week of classes**
  evaluations
  **final exam due at the end of this week**

Derrida continued; the following only if there is time:

**Rorty**; read: Lawhead p. 561-3
  Baird p. 367-380

**John Rawls** and **Communitarianism** (MacIntyre, Taylor)
  read: Baird p. 312-325, 391-408, 422-438

**Frankfurt School, Habermas**
  read: Baird p. 409-421

---

3 Scruton 275-80.
4 Scruton 281-289.
5 Scruton 289-294.
Paper Guidelines

The topic of your paper will ideally be a comparison of two philosophers on a given issue. Examples might be:

- Anxiety in Kierkegaard and Heidegger.
- Language in Wittgenstein and Gadamer.
- Faith in Newman and Kierkegaard.
- Time in Husserl and Augustine.

There are many other possibilities. I do encourage you to use topics of your own interest or background. There are many graduate student conferences now; you might want to write a paper with an eye to presenting it in such a venue. An increasing number of students have done so successfully.

The topic is, however, subject to approval. You can talk to me before or after your proposal.

The paper is a research paper (15 pages, 12pt, double space). Hence I expect you to research and use secondary literature. This is to be documented in the use of footnotes (not endnotes!) and in a bibliography.

To avoid some common pitfalls: please focus on your topic. There is no need for biographical introductions on philosophers. (Biographical details can, of course, be brought in, if they explain some subject matter.)

Please avoid flowery or cryptic language. Be as sober as possible. Building literary smoke screens will not make your paper appear more profound, but only less intelligible. Focus on making arguments and positions clear.

Do some proofreading. If English is your second language, it can be a good idea to ask someone else to help you. Please do write complete sentences that have a grammatical structure. (Unfortunately that does not always seem to be self-understood.) Shorter sentences can make that easier. Grammatical correctness is more important than political correctness (e.g. do not use “they” as a singular).

Subdividing it into chapters (with headlines) can help the structure of your paper. It will help the clarity of your own thought process – as well as that of the reader. Please use page numbers.

Plagiarism is unacceptable and will result in a failing grade.

Proposal

The proposal is due the class after reading week. It consists in 2 or 3 sentences, stating your topic, plus a pertinent bibliography. (Please do not include the textbooks of the class.) This exercise is meant to help you to clarify your topic and focus your bibliography; after that, the paper should come easy. It is also a good exercise for a future thesis proposal. The topic is subject to approval.

The bibliography is most important. Please make your bibliography as specific as possible. I would like to see at least three pertinent journal articles in your bibliography; this is more important than generic books. As a help: please use the “philosopher’s index” at the GTU library, although this might only provide you with a starting point. (Sometimes helpful also: the Oxford Bibliographies.) Topics without pertinent literature are not viable; if it requires extensive research on primary texts, you should leave it for your dissertation.